#### FENT COOPERATION TREA

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY To: WRITTEN OPINION OF THE see form PCT/ISA/220 INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43bis.1) Date of mailing (day/month/year) see form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet) Applicant's or agent's file reference FOR FURTHER ACTION see form-PCT/ISA/220 See paragraph 2 below International application No. International filing date (day/month/year) Priority date (day/month/year) PCT/GB2004/003165 21.07.2004 21.07.2003 International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC C12N15/10 Applicant DNA RESEARCH INNOVATIONS LIMITED 1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: Box No. 1 Basis of the opinion Box No. II **Priority** ☐ Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability ☐ Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement Box No. VI Certain documents cited ☐ Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application 2. **FURTHER ACTION** If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"). However, this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notifed the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of three months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220. 3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220. Name and mailing address of the ISA: **Authorized Officer** 

European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d

Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465

Mueller, F

Telephone No. +49 89 2399-7722



10/565951

IAP9 Rec 1 PCT/PTO 23 JAN 2006 International application No. PCT/GB2004/003165

## W. ITEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

	Box No. I Basis of the opinion					
1.	With regard to the <b>language</b> , this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was field, unless otherwise indicated under this item.					
	This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).					
:2.	With regard to any <b>nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence</b> disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:					
	a. type of material:					
	☐ a sequence listing					
	☐ table(s) related to the sequence listing					
	b. format of material:					
	☐ in written format					
	☐ in computer readable form					
	c. time of filing/furnishing:					
	☐ contained in the international application as filed.					
	☐ filed together with the international application in computer readable form.					
	furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.					
3.	In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.					
4.	Additional comments:					

### W. ITEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/GB2004/003165

Box	No. II	Priority				
☐ The following document has not been furnished:						
	$\boxtimes$	copy of the earlier ap	pplication	n whose pr	iority has been claimed (Rule 43bis.1 and 66.7(a)).	
□ translation of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed (Rule 43 <i>bis</i> .1 and 66.7(b)).						
Consequently it has not been possible to consider the validity of the priority claim. This opinion has nevertheless been established on the assumption that the relevant date is the claimed priority date.						
This opinion-has been established as if no priority had been claimed due to the fact that the priority claim has been found invalid (Rules 43bis.1 and 64.1). Thus for the purposes of this opinion, the international filing date indicated above is considered to be the relevant date.						
Additional observations, if necessary:						
Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or						
industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement						
Statement						
Nov	elty (N)		Yes:	Claims		
			No:	Claims	1-32	
Inve	entive st	ep (IS)	Yes:	Claims		
			No:	Claims	1-32	
Indu	ustrial a	pplicability (IA)	Yes:	Claims	1-32	
			No:	Claims		
Cita	itions ar	nd explanations				
see	separa	ite sheet				
	-					
Box	No. VI	Certain documen	ts cited			
		Certain documen	····.	<del></del>	0.10)	
	Box indu	Consequence neverth  This-op has be filing do  Additional of  Box No. V industrial at  Statement  Novelty (N)  Inventive statement at  Citations ar	□ The following document has □ copy of the earlier ap □ translation of the ear □ Consequently it has not bee nevertheless been establish □ This opinion has been establish has been found invalid (Rule filing date indicated above is Additional observations, if neces  Box No. V Reasoned statem industrial applicability; citation	□ The following document has not been copy of the earlier application translation of the earlier application of the earlier	The following document has not been furnished copy of the earlier application whose produced translation of the earlier application whose produced translation of the earlier application who consequently it has not been possible to consider nevertheless been established on the assumption of the assumption of the earlier application who consequently it has not been possible to considered to the assumption of the earlier application on the assumption of the earlier application on the assumption of the earlier application on the assumption of the earlier application who considered to consider the earlier applies to consider assumption of the earlier applies to consider the earlier applies to consider assumption of the earlier applies to consider assumption on the earlier applies to consider assumption on the earlier applies to consider assumption on the earlier application whose production whose pr	

see form 210

2. Non-written disclosures (Rules 43bis.1 and 70.9)

## WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/GB2004/003165

#### Re Item V.

1 The following documents are referred to in this communication:

D1: WO 01/88185 A D2: EP 0 268 946 A D3: US 6 277 648 B1

- 2. The subject-matter of independent claims 1,16, and 25 is not clear (Article 6 PCT). The wording of these claims is not defined by structural and/or technical features which allow a clear differentiation to the devices and methods already provided in the prior art for isolating nucleic acids, see D1-D3.
- 3. The subject-matter of independent claims does not fulfill the requirements of Article 33(2) PCT.

Because of the broad wording of the independent claims 1,16 and 25 it is considered the claimed subject-matter is already described in the prior art D1-D3. D1-D3 already provides devices and methods which allows the purification of nucleic acids from sample solutions.

Furthermore it seems that by inserting of structural features to the claimed subject-matter for generating novelty with respect to the prior art, no special technical effect of the claimed subject-matter in comparison with the prior art could be identified and therefore a lack of an inventive step will still be present (Article 33(3) PCT), see also WO0248164.

- 4. Certain defects in the international application
- Contrary to the requirements of Rule 5.1(a)(ii) PCT, the relevant background art disclosed in the documents D1,D3 is not mentioned in the description nor are these documents identified therein.
- The specification for an international application should be self-contained without reference to any other document (cf PCT Guidelines, C-II,4.17). The expression " incorporated by reference" found on page 15, I.28 is therefore not according to the PCT requirements.

# WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/GB2004/003165